

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,772	07/31/2003	Satoshi Kojima	03500.017453.	8463	
5514 7	590 05/25/2005		EXAM	INER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			GOODROW, JOHN L		
NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1756		

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

√	١ď
¥	•

TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summ	<b>ary</b> Pa	ert of Paper No./Mail Da	ite 20050523
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/03.  S. Patent and Trademark Office		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	-152)
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copi application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office ac	f: nity documents have be nity documents have be es of the priority docum ational Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any o Replacement drawing sheet(s) included 11) The oath or declaration is objected.	are: a)  accepted or be accepted accepted or be accepted accepted or be accepted accepted or be accepted	be held in abeyance. See ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	
	ATOROTI ATIO/OF EIECHOTT	requirement.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to res		roquiroment		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) i	s/are withdrawn from c	onsideration.		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in th		•		
Disposition of Claims				
closed in accordance with the pra	actice under Ex parte Q	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
3) Since this application is in conditi	on for allowance excep	t for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the	merits is
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.		
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on .			
Status				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU  - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thir  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for r  Any reply received by the Office later than three monone armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within the st m statutory period will apply and eply will, by statute, cause the ap ths after the mailing date of this o	event, however, may a reply be ting atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Period for Reply	, amount appoint on a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	on coponiconoc de	u, 000
The MAILING DATE of this comm	John L. (		1756	droop
Office Action Summary	Examine	ar .	Art Unit	
	10/630,	772	KOJIMA ET AL.	
	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	

Application/Control Number: 10/630,772 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification. Page 111 starting Table A-23 did not copy well and should be resubmitted.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ehara et al in (600) view of Tsuchida et al 852. Applicants' claims are directed to a process for producing an electrophotographic member claims 1-29, the, member produced by the process claims 30-31 and a photosensitive member having spherical protuberances removed claims 32-35. Ehara (600) teaches depositing a non-single crystal material composed basically of silicon atoms on a substrate in a deposition chamber. The

Application/Control Number: 10/630,772

Art Unit: 1756

on the substrate. The formed surface has protrusions note Abstract and Fig 9 – Fig 10 where polishing the surface will remove the protrusions. The sensitivity of a non-single crystal material is taught by Tsuchida et al who incorporate atoms capable of controlling its conductivity. The layer arrangement such as surface layer Col. 17 and charge injection blocking layer Col.22 would be specific to the element and not the process of making the photosensitive member. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention with a reasonable expectation of success to use the layered arrangement and materials that have known optical response in the process of using a second film forming chamber as taught by Ehara et al. **Double Patenting** 

Page 3

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of copending Application No. 10/630727. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the exposing the substrate with the first

Art Unit: 1756

layer formed thereon to a gas containing oxygen and water vapor is the same as taking the substrate out of the first chamber and exposing it to atmospheric air.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Goodrow whose telephone number is 571-272-1384. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F. Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

` \_

John L Goodrow
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1756